EVALUATION CRITERIA OF THE CALL FOR PROPOSALS:

Promoting Women's ESC rights through the UPR

Administrative Check

During the administrative check the following will be assessed:

- ▪ If the deadline has been met. Otherwise, the application will be automatically rejected.
- ▪ If the applicant responds to the eligibility criteria listed in the call for proposals
- • If the concept note satisfies the instructions included in the online form.

The applications that pass this check will be evaluated on the relevance of the proposed action and their quality, including the proposed budget and capacity of the applicant.

The received applications will be evaluated using the evaluation criteria in the evaluation grid below

The <u>evaluation criteria</u> are divided into headings and subheadings. Each subheading will be given a score between 1 and 5 as follows: 1 = very poor; 2 = poor; 3 = adequate; 4 = good; 5 = very good.

Evaluation Grid

Section	Maximum Score
1. Organizational Capacity	**15**
1.1. Does the applicant have sufficient in-house experience of project management (including staff, equipment and ability to handle the budget for the action)?	5
1.2. Does the applicant have sufficient technical in-house expertise (especially knowledge of the issues to be addressed)?	5
1.3. Does the applicant have stable and sufficient sources of finance?	5
2. Relevance of the action	**25**
2.1. Does the proposed project respond to specific UPR recommendations relevant to the objective of the call for proposals and the particular needs and constraints of the target country(ies)?	5x2**
2.2 Are the expected results of the action aligned with the priorities defined in the call for proposals?	5
2.3. How clearly defined are the final beneficiaries? Have their needs (as rights holders and/or duty bearers) and constraints been clearly defined and does the proposal address them appropriately?	5

2.4. Does the proposal contain particular added-value elements (e.g. innovation, best practices) ?	5
3. Implementation approach	**15**
3.1. Is the action plan for implementing the action clear and feasible? Is the timeline realistic?	5
3.2. Does the proposal include an effective and efficient monitoring system? Is there an evaluation planned (previous, during or/and at the end of the implementation)?	5
3.3. Is the action likely to have a tangible impact on its target groups?	5
4. Budget	**10**
4.1. Are the activities appropriately reflected in the budget?	5
4.2. Is the ratio between the estimated costs and the expected results satisfactory?	5
Maximum total score	**65**